## Summary of Teaching Evaluations

Henry A. Thompson

Intermediate Microeconomics, ECON 306-00, Fall 2019

- In-person class of 21 students whose majors included economics, mathematics, finance, government \& international politics.
- Overall rating of the teaching: $\mathbf{4 . 6 7}$
- Department mean: 4.44
- University mean: 4.45
- The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating: $\mathbf{4 . 8 9}$
- Department mean: 4.15
- University mean: 4.41
- The instructor helped me to better understand the course material: 4.67
- Department mean: 4.40
- University mean: 4.46


## Macro Principles, ECON-104-004, Spring 2021

- In-person class of 10 students whose majors included business, accounting, government \& international politics, marketing, environmental \& sustainable studies, criminology, history.
- Overall rating of the teaching: 4.5
- Department mean: 4.19
- University mean: 4.35
- The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating: $\mathbf{4 . 7 5}$
- Department mean: 4.15
- University mean: 4.24
- The instructor helped me to better understand the course material: 4.5
- Department mean: 4.16
- University mean: 4.30

Economics of Developing Areas, ECON-360-103, Fall 2020

- Hybrid class of 12 students whose majors included information technology, economics, global affairs, criminology, engineering.
- Overall rating of the teaching: $\mathbf{4 . 2 5}$
- Department mean: 4.17
- University mean: 4.29
- The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating: $\mathbf{4 . 5}$
- Department mean: 4.07
- University mean: 4.16
- The instructor helped me to better understand the course material: $\mathbf{4 . 2 5}$
- Department mean: 4.11
- University mean: 4.23


## Economics of Developing Areas, ECON-360-003, Spring 2020

- In-person class of 32 students that moved entirely online in March due to Covid-19. Due to the Covid-19 interruption, George Mason did not collect student evaluations this semester.


## Paper Reports Home $>$ Search Courses by Instructor $>$ Report Summary <br> Fall 2019

## ECON306 001 (Intermediate Microeconomics)

Instructor: Thompson,Henry
Students Enrolled: 22
Meeting in R B111 on MW from 2019-08-26 00:00:00.0 to 2019-12-18 00:00:00.0
For complete report click here
Question
15. My overall rating of the teaching
\#Resp. Instructor Mean Dept. Mean
16. My overall rating of this course
$18 \quad 4.67$

| 1. Course requirements and expectations were clear | 18 | 4.67 | 4.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. The course was well organized | 18 | 4.61 | 4.54 |
| 3. The instructor helped me to better understand the course material | 18 | 4.67 | 4.40 |
| 4. Feedback (comments and suggestions written on papers, solutions provided, class discussion, etc.) was helpful | 18 | 4.65 | 4.23 |
| 5. The instructor showed respect for the students | 18 | 5.00 | 4.74 |
| 6. The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically | 18 | 4.89 | 4.56 |
| 7. The course grading policy was clear | 18 | 4.67 | 4.64 |
| 8. Graded work reflected what was covered in the course | 18 | 4.83 | 4.57 |
| 9. The assignments (projects, papers, presentations, etc.) helped me learn the material | 18 | 4.78 | 4.39 |
| 10. The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material | 18 | 4.06 | 4.31 |

4.06

| 11. Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time | 18 | 4.94 | 4.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. The instructor covered the important aspects of the course as outlined in the syllabus | 18 | 4.94 | 4.66 |
| 13. The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating | 18 | 4.89 | 4.44 |
| 14. The instructor encouraged the students to be actively involved in the material through discussion, assignments, and other activities | 18 | 4.94 | 4.33 |

1) What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

- Excellent class environment wi discussions
- Intellectually stimulating classes
- Extremely helpful is accessith w/
- Vent timely wI handry things buek
- Very Adaptable $\vdots$ willing te modify lessen for best way te teach the course.

2) What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?
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3) What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

Class discussion was very engaging. I really liked the economic thinking questions presented at the begmuing of class
2) What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?

Use Alchian instead of Mcloskey

1) What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

I took this class previously \& VCU \& I clearly didn't do well the first tire. This time around, I was so engaged \& was excited for ass everyday. Mr. thompson's young-like mindset reauy helped is understand the matericu. I loved this class.
2) What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?
providing thu Questions on a requiar/Biweekly basis mount help students retain material better

1) What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

Mr. Thompsonwas very interactive and fun as a teacher. He waited mu e to learn and he really trice to consult with the students.
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2) What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?

Give me the fist bump.
$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

1) What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

Some pats were very erlisutering. Mademe veery interested in the economic way of flinty (bough 3 bootes!)

Wite th's, same parts were not quite clear. of conure, it was prof's finest class 60 it is understandable.

Liked the atmusporve an gand camaraderie btun students.
There were flashes of brilliance in this class.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
2) What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught? Meloskey Alchian.

1) What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

Th. Examples were excellent. Appencintedth.t it maserquized aroundbing questions.
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2) What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?

Dent useMellosken as the textbook. Vsomormath, Iknmik'snot required
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1) What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

Proferor's passion for and commitment to economize
Willingness to sit down with me and talk about the course and economies in general
Developed a passion in me for pertsuing a PhD
2) What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?

Use Alchien Allen textbook instead of McCloskey
Encourage everyone to sat in the front to got more partizpation
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Individual Report for ECON-104-004-Macroeconomic Principles Henry Thompson

Project Title: Student Evaluations of Teaching - Spring 2021
Survey Audience: 10
Responses Received: 8
Response Ratio: 80.0\%

## Report Comments

Student evaluations of teaching provide critical feedback to instructors that can be used to adjust and improve the class experience.

This information is also evaluated as part of performance reviews.
You are encouraged to review this information to learn more about the student perspective and experience.
If you have any questions about the process or how to interpret this information, please contact the Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Planning at oiep@gmu.edu.

| Responded | 8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Invited | 10 |
| Response Ratio | $80 \%$ |


| -- Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course requirements and expectations were clear $\square \quad 4.75$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The course was well organized $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The instructor helped me to better understand the course mat... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feedback (written comments and suggestions on papers, sol... - 4.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The instructor showed respect for the students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The course grading policy was clear - 4.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graded work reflected what was covered in the course - 4.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The assignments (projects, papers, presentations, etc.) helpe... - 4.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understan... 4.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amou... 4.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The instructor covered the important aspects of the course as... 4.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The instructor encouraged the students to be actively involve... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| My overall rating of the teaching 4.50 <br> My overall rating of this course 3.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | $4.00 \quad 5.00$ |  |  |
| Competency |  |  |  | Course | Standard Deviation | Min | Max |
| Course requirements and expectations were clear |  |  |  | 4.75 | +/-0.46 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| The course was well organized |  |  |  | 4.50 | +/-0.53 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor helped me to better understand the course material |  |  |  | 4.50 | +/-0.76 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Feedback (written comments and suggestions on papers, solutions provided, class discussion, etc.) was helpful |  |  |  | 4.63 | +/-0.52 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor showed respect for the students |  |  |  | 4.63 | +/-0.74 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically |  |  |  | 4.75 | +/-0.46 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| The course grading policy was clear |  |  |  | 4.38 | +/-1.06 | 2.00 | 5.00 |
| Graded work reflected what was covered in the course |  |  |  | 4.50 | +/-0.76 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| The assignments (projects, papers, presentations, etc.) helped me learn the material |  |  |  | 4.50 | +/-0.53 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material |  |  |  | 4.38 | +/-0.74 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time |  |  |  | 4.25 | +/-0.89 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor covered the important aspects of the course as outlined in the syllabus |  |  |  | 4.50 | +/-0.53 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating |  |  |  | 4.75 | +/-0.46 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor encouraged the students to be actively involved in the material through discussion, assignments, and other activities |  |  |  | 4.63 | +/-0.52 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| My overall rating of the teaching |  |  |  | 4.50 | +/-0.76 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| My overall rating of this course |  |  |  | 3.88 | +/-0.99 | 2.00 | 5.00 |

Relative to other classes, the instructor took actions that demonstrated a sincere interest in my success.


| Top Questions | 4.75 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Course requirements and expectations were clear | 4.75 |
| 2 | The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically | 4.75 |
| 3 | The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating | 3.88 |
| Lowest Questions | 4.25 |  |
| 1 | My overall rating of this course | 4.38 |
| 2 | Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time |  |
| 3 | The course grading policy was clear |  |

## Student Evaluations of Teaching (Q1-Q16)

| Question | Course |  |  |  | Department (Economics) |  |  |  | School (Humanities \& Social Sciences) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max |
| Course requirements and expectations were clear | 8 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 784 | 4.43 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13817 | 4.56 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The course was well organized | 8 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 783 | 4.27 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13851 | 4.49 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor helped me to better understand the course material | 8 | 4.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 779 | 4.16 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13744 | 4.43 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Feedback (written comments and suggestions on papers, solutions provided, class discussion, etc.) was helpful | 8 | 4.63 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 717 | 4.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13470 | 4.38 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor showed respect for the students | 8 | 4.63 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 751 | 4.53 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13681 | 4.71 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically | 8 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 767 | 4.29 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13649 | 4.59 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The course grading policy was clear | 8 | 4.38 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 784 | 4.42 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13793 | 4.53 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Graded work reflected what was covered in the course | 8 | 4.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 780 | 4.35 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13724 | 4.58 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The assignments (projects, papers, presentations, etc.) helped me learn the material | 8 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 739 | 4.24 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13655 | 4.45 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material | 8 | 4.38 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 749 | 4.15 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13371 | 4.38 | 1.00 | 5.00 |


| Q | Course |  |  |  | Department (Economics) |  |  |  | School (Humanities \& Social Sciences) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max |
| were returned in a reasonable amount of time | 8 | 4.25 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 768 | 4.46 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13600 | 4.44 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor covered the important aspects of the course as outlined in the syllabus | 8 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 779 | 4.44 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13775 | 4.60 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating | 8 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 768 | 4.15 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13667 | 4.37 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor encouraged the students to be actively involved in the material through discussion, assignments, and other activities | 8 | 4.63 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 728 | 4.08 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13554 | 4.53 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| My overall rating of the teaching | 8 | 4.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 784 | 4.19 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13866 | 4.49 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| My overall rating of this course | 8 | 3.88 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 784 | 4.13 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13878 | 4.36 | 1.00 | 5.00 |

The grade you expect in this class


## This course is:

$\left.\begin{array}{|r|r|r|r|}\hline \text { This course is: } \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { Required (8) }\end{array} & & & \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { Elective (0) }\end{array} & 0.00 \% & & \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { General } \begin{array}{l}\text { Education (0) } \\ \text { Other (0) }\end{array} \\ \text { [ Total (8) ] }\end{array} & 0.00 \%\end{array}\right)$

## What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

## Comments

Thompson always was willing to help and made sure we were getting something valuable from each class.
I liked the heavy discussion based classes.
The teacher was very engaging and was very helpful in me learning the information.
I liked how we were presenting and launching discussions to each other and it was not lecture based. I really enjoyed that this class was more of a discussion oriented class. I also liked how we were not super strict with where discussion went in class because we were able to discuss things that were actually confusing and interesting rather than just what was required that we learn, because the required stuff came naturally with the discussion.

## Everything

The discussions were stimulating and helped me to understand the material.

## What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?

## Comments

Less reading for Thursdays.
Less course work so we are able to understand more of what we really need to focus on.
Call on more people more often, there was one student who presented/launched quite a bit. Along with about three others that were fairly frequent in presenting/launching, however everyone else kind of slid by. So continuing to hold all students to the same level all semester long. The professor did a good job of this at the beginning, but towards the end of the semester he kind of started calling on the same $2 / 3$ students. Also just don't come out so hard right out of the gate, definitely explain the class and the level it will be at, but also make sure there is room for mistakes the first couple of weeks.
A few weeks fixed where things can slow down. The course is at one constant pace, very fast.
People should not be kicked out for not fully understanding the material. If anything, they should at least get to stay and listen to the conversation. I feel like that would be more helpful, especially if they already didn't understand the reading on their own.

Individual Report for ECON-360-104-Economics of Developing Areas (Henry Thompson)

Project Title: Student Evaluations of Teaching - Fall 2020
Survey Audience: 7
Responses Received: 4
Response Ratio: 57.14\%

## Report Comments

Student evaluations of teaching provide critical feedback to instructors that can be used to adjust and improve the class experience.

This information is also evaluated as part of performance reviews.
You are encouraged to review this information to learn more about the student perspective and experience.
If you have any questions about the process or how to interpret this information, please contact the Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Planning at oiep@gmu.edu.

| Responded | 4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Invited | 7 |
| Response Ratio | $57.14 \%$ |



Student Evaluations of Teaching

| Question | Department (Economics) |  |  |  | School (Humanities \& Social Sciences) |  |  |  | Institution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max | Response Count | Mean | Min | Max |
| Course requirements and expectations were clear | 828 | 4.37 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14214 | 4.50 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54516 | 4.40 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The course was well organized | 830 | 4.23 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14253 | 4.42 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54683 | 4.30 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor helped me to better understand the course material | 816 | 4.11 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14116 | 4.35 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54240 | 4.23 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Feedback (written comments and suggestions on papers, solutions provided, class discussion, etc.) was helpful | 763 | 3.91 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13857 | 4.33 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 52699 | 4.17 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor showed respect for the students | 791 | 4.44 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14049 | 4.70 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54122 | 4.61 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically | 809 | 4.25 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14054 | 4.53 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 53950 | 4.46 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The course grading policy was clear | 826 | 4.38 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14197 | 4.48 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54387 | 4.38 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Graded work reflected what was covered in the course | 819 | 4.36 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14113 | 4.54 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 53795 | 4.42 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The assignments (projects, papers, presentations, etc.) helped me learn the material | 785 | 4.20 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14064 | 4.39 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 53437 | 4.30 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material | 804 | 4.19 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13850 | 4.32 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 51290 | 4.21 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time | 816 | 4.40 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14006 | 4.36 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 53002 | 4.29 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor covered the important aspects of the course as outlined in the syllabus | 820 | 4.41 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14138 | 4.55 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54154 | 4.46 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating | 817 | 4.07 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14078 | 4.29 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 53950 | 4.16 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| The instructor encouraged the students to be actively involved in the material through discussion, assignments, and other activities | 780 | 3.98 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 13966 | 4.46 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 53494 | 4.34 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| My overall rating of the teaching | 828 | 4.17 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14269 | 4.42 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54693 | 4.29 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| My overall rating of this course | 828 | 4.07 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 14279 | 4.29 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 54737 | 4.16 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Overall | - | 4.22 | - | - | - | 4.43 | - | - | - | 4.32 | - | - |

## What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?

## Comments

One thing that was very helpful during the course was the style of teaching Mr. Thompson chose. It was very comfortable to engage with him and my classmates. He did a very good job at never saying "you're wrong", instead he would walk you through the correct answer. He also never failed to give you credit for being on the right track.
By reading the materials, I was able to understand the concepts of economics and take weekly quizzes.
The class was led by discussion and it really helped me to engage in the material being taught

## What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?

## Comments

Do the readings first before completing the quizzes.
That the readings are less complicated to understand; language in the readings were kind of hard to comprehend sometimes

## Student Class



## Student College

Volgenau School of Engineering (25.00\%)

-Humanities \& Social Sciences (75.00\%)

